Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,590	LOHWEG, VOLKER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR	2624
All Participants: Status of Application: Response to non-final		
(1) <u>SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Douglas R. Hanscom (Reg. No. 26,600)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 September 2008	Time: <u>8:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 24, 29 and 31		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested amendments that would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed and authorized an Examiner's amendment. See Examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)